

Angular Momentum

Introduction

Angular momentum is classically defined as:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$$

The quantum-mechanical operator is defined the same way, by just replacing the two quantities with their respective operators.

$$\hat{L}_i = \hat{x}_j \hat{p}_k - \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_j = -i\hbar \left(x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

The three components of angular momentum are not compatible quantities with each other, since they don't commute.

$$[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \hat{L}_k \quad \text{Leitrigtarrow} \quad \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L} = i\hbar \mathbf{L}$$

In order to fully describe the angular momentum of a quantum-mechanical system we need another quantity, which would be compatible. This quantity is the magnitude of the total angular momentum squared, $\hat{L}^2 = |\hat{\mathbf{L}}|^2$.

Indeed, this quantity commutes with all the components.

$$[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_i] = 0$$

Completely describing the angular momentum of a quantum system we use two operators, $\hat{L}^2$ for the magnitude and $\hat{L}_z$ for its projection on the z axis, which is easier to play with in spherical coordinates.

Also, both of those operators commute with the Hamiltonian, and thus have common eigenfunctions. In the end, we have two eigenvalue problems.

$$\hat{L}^2 |l, m\rangle = \hbar^2 l(l+1) |l, m\rangle \quad \hat{L}_z |l, m\rangle = \hbar m |l, m\rangle$$

The eigenvalue $\lambda = l(l+1)$ is written this way because it is easier to handle mathematically, as will be shown later on, without affecting the problem in any way. The quantum numbers l, m are integers and define the so called **Spherical Harmonics** $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$, which are the angular part of the wavefunctions $\psi_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r) \cdot Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$.

The existence of a central potential $V(\mathbf{r}) = V(-\mathbf{r})$ causes the quantization of the radial part of the wavefunction. Together with spin they form a quartet of quantum numbers (n, l, m_l, m_s) which fully defines the state of a particle around the central potential (e.g. a *single* electron around a nucleus).

Calculating the Eigenvalues

The eigenvalue problem of $\hat{L}_z$ is similar to that of the Hamiltonian for the [Quantum Harmonic Oscillator](#). We are looking for the *raising* and *lowering* operators $\hat{L}_{\pm}$ that will move us from the state $|\ell, m\rangle$ to the state $|\ell, m\pm 1\rangle$.

The only difference is that L should not be affected, meaning that the eigenvalue equation for $\hat{L}^2$ will still hold. L represents the magnitude of the angular momentum, while m its projection on an axis. It is a natural requirement that any action on m , which corresponds to axes rotation, won't affect L in any way.

We start by factoring the magnitude:

$$L^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2 = L_z^2 + (L_x + iL_y)(L_x - iL_y)$$

By comparing with the algebra of the harmonic oscillator (factoring $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$) we practically guess the existence of the operators:

$$\hat{L}_+ = \hat{L}_x + i\hat{L}_y \quad \hat{L}_- = \hat{L}_x - i\hat{L}_y$$

It's obvious that $\hat{L}_{\pm}^\dagger = \hat{L}_{\mp}$. We now need to show that these two operators have the required properties:

- $[\hat{L}_{\pm}, \hat{L}^2] = 0$
- $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_{\pm}] = \pm \hbar \hat{L}_{\pm}$, which leads to $\hat{L}_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle \propto |\ell, m\pm 1\rangle$

First Property

The $\hat{L}_{\pm}$ operator is a linear combination of $\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y$ which commute with $\hat{L}^2$. So $\hat{L}_{\pm}$ commutes too.

$$[\hat{L}_{\pm}, \hat{L}^2] = [\hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y, \hat{L}^2] = [\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}^2] \pm i[\hat{L}_y, \hat{L}^2] = 0 \pm i \cdot 0 = 0$$

Second Property

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_{\pm}] &= [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y] = [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_x] \pm i[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_y] \\ &= \hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} \pm i(-\hbar \hat{L}_{\pm}) = \hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} \mp i\hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} = \pm \hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} \end{aligned}$$

The quantum number m is the eigenvalue of $\hat{L}_z$, so in order to see if $\hat{L}_{\pm}$ indeed takes us from state $|\ell, m\rangle$ to state $|\ell, m\pm 1\rangle$ we can act with it on state $\hat{L}_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_z \hat{L}_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle &= \hat{L}_{\pm} \hat{L}_z |\ell, m\rangle \pm \hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle \\ &= \hat{L}_{\pm} (m\hbar) |\ell, m\rangle \pm \hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle = (m\pm 1)\hbar \hat{L}_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \hat{L}_z \rangle = \hbar m$. The eigenvalue is $\hbar m$, so the operator $\hat{L}_z$ indeed takes us from state $|l, m\rangle$ to state $|l, m\rangle$.

Normalization

We have shown that there is a relation between the two states, $\hat{L}_z |l, m\rangle = \hbar m |l, m\rangle$. In order to find an exact equation we can use the fact that wavefunctions are normalized.

But first we need to calculate two operators that show up later on during the process.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- &= (\hat{L}_x - i\hat{L}_y)(\hat{L}_x + i\hat{L}_y) = \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 + i(\hat{L}_x \hat{L}_y - \hat{L}_y \hat{L}_x) \\ &= \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 + i(\hat{L}_x \hat{L}_y - \hat{L}_y \hat{L}_x) \\ &= \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 + i(\hbar \hat{L}_z) \\ \hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+ &= (\hat{L}_x + i\hat{L}_y)(\hat{L}_x - i\hat{L}_y) = \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 - i(\hat{L}_x \hat{L}_y - \hat{L}_y \hat{L}_x) \\ &= \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 - i(\hbar \hat{L}_z) \end{aligned}$$
 If we write $\hat{L}_z |l, m\rangle = \hbar m |l, m\rangle$, we then can find the constant by taking the square magnitudes, since $\langle l, m | l, m \rangle = 1$ by definition.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l, m | \hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- | l, m \rangle &= \langle l, m | \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 + i\hbar \hat{L}_z | l, m \rangle \\ &= \langle l, m | \hat{L}^2 | l, m \rangle - \langle l, m | \hat{L}_z^2 | l, m \rangle + i\hbar \langle l, m | \hat{L}_z | l, m \rangle \\ &= \hbar^2 l(l+1) \langle l, m | l, m \rangle - \hbar^2 m^2 \langle l, m | l, m \rangle + i\hbar m \langle l, m | l, m \rangle \\ &= \hbar^2 l(l+1) - \hbar^2 m^2 + i\hbar m \end{aligned}$$
 We now have fully defined how the angular momentum raising and lower operators act on a state.
$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_+ |l, m\rangle &= \hbar \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m+1)} |l, m+1\rangle \\ \hat{L}_- |l, m\rangle &= \hbar \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m-1)} |l, m-1\rangle \end{aligned}$$
 Accepted Values of m and l

There's a natural limit for the eigenvalue m . It isn't possible for the projection of the angular momentum on the z axis to be of greater magnitude than the total angular momentum. Thus m is bounded, and its minimum and maximum values are given by requiring the normalization term to be zero in the two formulas above.

$$\hat{L}_+ |l, m_{\max}\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow m_{\max} = l$$

$$\hat{L}_- |l, m_{\min}\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow m_{\min} = -l$$

By proving that $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_\pm] = \pm \hbar \hat{L}_\pm$ we showed that the eigenvalues $\hbar m$ of $\hat{L}_z$ are equally spaced multiples of $\hbar$ and that the number m is **integer**. The allowed values are all integer numbers in the interval $[-l, l]$. This interval has length $2l$, which also integer. So l can be any integer divided by 2, meaning an **integer or half-integer**.

The two paragraphs above is the reason we write the eigenvalue λ from the problem $\hat{L}^2 |l, m\rangle = \lambda |l, m\rangle$ as $\lambda = l(l+1)\hbar^2$. Without this formulation, finding a relation between the limits of m and λ would be much more difficult and less usable.

How is it possible for l to theoretically be able to take half-integer values, while it's forced to be strictly integer since m is strictly integer? During the analysis for l and $\hat{L}^2$ above we silently assumed that by angular momentum we mean orbital angular momentum, as an equivalent to [classical angular momentum](#). We can follow the exact same procedure for a more general angular momentum j , which include both the orbital angular momentum with $m=m_l$ and spin m_s .

The quantum number of spin m_s can accept half-integer values, and ensures that all theoretically possible values of j can be reached. Another impressive property is that it splits up all elementary particles in two categories, *fermions* and *bosons*, depending on if they have half-integer or strictly integer values of spin.

Constructing the Eigenfunctions

The momentum operator $\hat{p}^2 = -\hbar^2 \nabla^2$ represents the total momentum. When written in spherical coordinates, it is easy to spot a radial and an angular part.

$$\hat{p}^2 = -\hbar^2 \nabla^2 = \underbrace{-\hbar^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)}_{\hat{p}_r^2} + \underbrace{-\hbar^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right)}_{\hat{L}^2}$$

This is how we can *guess* the form of $\hat{L}^2$. We can also try to guess how $\hat{L}_z$ looks like. Rotation around the z axis is described exclusively from the azimuthal angle ϕ . Taking the ϕ dependence from the formula above and ignoring the $\sin\theta$ scaling factor of the spherical Laplacian, we have a *guess*.

$$\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

One can prove that this is indeed the operator if one starts from $\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar(x\partial_y - y\partial_x)$ and applies the chain rule on ∂_x, ∂_y to end up with derivatives with respect to r, θ and ϕ .

It was mentioned before that the two eigenvalue problems, $\hat{L}^2 |l, m\rangle = \hbar^2 l(l+1) |l, m\rangle$ and $\hat{L}_z |l, m\rangle = \hbar m |l, m\rangle$ must be solved at the same time. Thus, they have common eigenfunctions $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$, which are called **Spherical Harmonics**.

Solving the Eigenvalue Problems

In order to start solving the differential equations we initially try separating the variables and writing $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi)$ and start from the second eigenvalue problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_z Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) &= \hbar m Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\ -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi) &= \hbar m \Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi) \\ \Theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} &= im \Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi) \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} &= im \Phi(\phi) \end{aligned} \quad \boxed{\Phi(\phi) = e^{im\phi}}$$

Now, for the first eigenvalue problem: $\hat{L}^2 Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \hbar^2 l(l+1) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$

$$-\hbar^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) Y_{lm} = \hbar^2 l(l+1) Y_{lm}$$

By doing a variable change of $\xi = \cos \theta$, $d\xi = -\sin \theta d\theta$ we can simplify the equation.

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left((1-\xi^2) \frac{dY_{lm}}{d\xi} \right) + l(l+1) Y_{lm} = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left((1-\xi^2) \frac{dY_{lm}}{d\xi} \right) + l(l+1) Y_{lm} = 0$$

This is the **General (or Associated) Legendre Equation**, and some of its solutions are the **Associated Legendre Polynomials** $P_l^m(x)$. From all the solutions, only the Associated Legendre Polynomials can describe this physical system. They are defined with respect to the normal, run of the mill Legendre Polynomials $P_l(x)$ ¹. This is their historical definition, and only later on they were characterized as solutions to the differential equation above.

$$P_l^m(x) = (-1)^m (1-x^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} P_l(x)$$

The Condon-Shortley phase

The $(-1)^m$ term above is called the Condon-Shortley phase and it is neither required for solving the Legendre differential equations, nor for solving the angular momentum eigenvalue problem. Its addition helps on calculations with the raising and lowering operators by making the algebra simpler. Depending on the physics textbook, it is usually added either directly in the definition of the Associated Legendre Polynomials (like above), or in the definition of the spherical harmonics.

The Legendre Polynomials are the solutions to the Legendre differential equation,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left((1-x^2) \frac{dP_l}{dx} \right) + l(l+1) P_l = 0$$

and are generated by the Rodrigues formula.

$$P_l(x) = \frac{1}{2^l l!} \frac{d^l}{dx^l} [(x^2-1)^l]$$

By replacing the Rodrigues formula on the definition of the associated polynomials we get:

$$P_l^m(x) = (-1)^m \frac{1}{2^l l!} (1-x^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^{l+m}}{dx^{l+m}} [(x^2-1)^l]$$

The formula above stands only for positive values of m . It can be expanded to negative values through the formula below:

$$P_l^{-m}(x) = (-1)^m \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} P_l^m(x), \quad m > 0$$

After taking all of the above into account, and *some* calculations, one can find the exact form of the spherical harmonics.

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{(l-m)! (l+m)!}} \left(1 - \cos^2 \theta\right)^{\left|m\right|/2} e^{im\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d(\cos \theta)}\right)^{\left|l+\left|m\right|\right|} \left[\left(\cos^2 \theta - 1\right)^l\right]$$

The maximum degree of the polynomial $\left(\cos^2 \theta - 1\right)^l$ is $2l$, so the constraint regarding the values of m is also obeyed. For any $\left|m\right| > l$ there will be the differentiation of a constant which will immediately zero the spherical harmonic.

Using Operators

Example calculations of different quantities

1)

The Associated Legendre Polynomials are not actually polynomials. They are functions, and got this name because they are generated from the Legendre Polynomials

From:
<https://wiki.plakatouras.com/> - **Personal Wiki**

Permanent link:
<https://wiki.plakatouras.com/doku.php?id=notes:physics:quantum-mechanics:angular-momentum&rev=1790868483>

Last update: **2026/10/01 15:28**

